Single Audit Reports and Housing Financial Data Schedules

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015



CITY OF SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA
Single Audit Reports and Housing Financial Data Schedules For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Table of Contents

Pa	ige(s)
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133	3
Independent Auditor's Report on Housing Financial Data Schedules	7
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	9
Housing Financial Data Schedules	13
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and Housing Financial Data Schedules	15
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	17
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	2.1



Sacramento

Walnut Creek

San Francisco

Oakland

Los Angeles

Century City

Newport Beach

San Diego

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Santa Monica, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Santa Monica, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated December 29, 2015. Our report contained an emphasis of a matter paragraph for changes in accounting principles related to the implementation of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions – an Amendment of GASB Statement No. 27, and GASB Statement No. 71, Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date – an Amendment of GASB Statement No. 68, effective July 1, 2014.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California December 29, 2015

Macias Gini É O'Connell LAP



Sacramento

Walnut Creek

San Francisco

Oakland

Los Angeles

Century City

Newport Beach

San Diego

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Santa Monica, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Santa Monica, California's (City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2015. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance, which is required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2015-001. Our opinion on each major federal program is not modified with respect to these matters.

The City's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over compliance, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2015-001 that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

The City's response to the internal control over compliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City, as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated December 29, 2015 which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our report contained an emphasis of a matter paragraph for changes in accounting principles related to the implementation of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions - an Amendment of GASB Statement No. 27, and GASB Statement No. 71, Pension Transition for Contribution Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date – an Amendment of GASB Statement No. 68, effective July 1, 2014. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Los Angeles, California March 28, 2016

Macias Gini É O'Connell LAP

This page left blank intentionally.



Sacramento

Walnut Creek

San Francisco

Oakland

Los Angeles

Century City

Newport Beach

San Diego

Independent Auditor's Report on Housing Financial Data Schedules

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Santa Monica, California

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Santa Monica, California (City), as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, and related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated December 29, 2015, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our report contained an emphasis of a matter paragraph for changes in accounting principles related to the implementation of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions - an Amendment of GASB Statement No. 27, and GASB Statement No. 71, Pension Transition for Contribution Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date - an Amendment of GASB Statement No. 68, effective July 1, 2014. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. The accompanying housing financial data schedules of the City are presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the housing financial data schedules are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the City's management, City Council, others within the entity, and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties.

Los Angeles, California

Macias Gini & O'Connell LAP

March 28, 2016

This page left blank intentionally.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	CFDA Number	Grant Number / Pass- Through Grantor's Number	Federal Expenditures	Subrecipients
U.S. Department of Agriculture				
Passed through the California Department of Education: Summer Food Service Program for Children	10.559	_	\$ 23,091	\$ -
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			23,091	
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				
Direct Program:				
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.218 14.218 14.218	B-12-MC-06-0529 B-13-MC-06-0529 B-14-MC-06-0529	235,888 1,021,014 418,159	- 199,010 -
Subtotal CFDA No. 14.218			1,675,061	199,010
Passed through the Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority:				
Continuum of Care Program	14.267 14.267	CA0361L9D001205 CA0361L9D001306	13,748 362,793	308,760
Direct Programs:				
Continuum of Care Program	14.267	CA0359L9D001306	305,365	21,672
	14.267 14.267	CA0359L9D001407 CA0360L9D001306	25,771 70,466	-
	14.267	CA0360L9D001300	7,073	-
	14.267	CA0415L9D001205	130,390	-
	14.267	CA0415L9D001205	1,435,923	_
	14.267	CA1045L9D001300	80,334	-
	14.267	CA1045L9D001202	237,901	_
	14.267	CA1160L9D001201	44,695	_
	14.267	CA1160L9D001201	128,213	_
	14.267	CA0327C9D000800	87,722	-
	14.267	CA0327L9D000300	190,103	
Subtotal CFDA No. 14.267			3,120,497	330,432
HOME Investment Partnerships Program				
	14.239	M10MC060537	258,219	-
	14.239	M13MC060537	22,108	-
	14.239	M14MC060537	40,137	
Subtotal CFDA No. 14.239			320,464	
Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers	14.871	CA111VO	13,268,659	
Family Self-Sufficiency Program	14.896	CA111FSH050A014	32,370	
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			18,417,051	529,442
U.S. Department of Justice				
Direct Programs: Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.607	_	29,032	
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738	2013-DJ-BX-1176	29,261	-
Subtotal CFDA No. 16.738	16.738	2014-DJ-BX-0293	31,575 60,836	
Equitable Sharing Program - Asset Seizure	16.922	_	194,113	
Total U.S. Department of Justice			283,981	
•				

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Continued) For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	CFDA Number	Grant Number / Pass- Through Grantor's Number	Federal Expenditures	Subrecipients
U.S. Department of Transportation				
Passed through the State of California Department of Transportation:				
Highway Planning and Construction:	20.205	RPSTPLE-5107 (038)	\$ 674,222	\$ -
Bikeshare-First and Last Mile Connections to Expo Colorado Ave Esplanade	20.205	CML-5107 (035)	403,320	5 -
California Incline Reconstruction	20.205	BRLS-5107 (002)	3,047,631	-
Pier Bridge (Widening) Improvements	20.205	BHLO-5107 (033)	198,537	-
Lincoln Boulevard Resurfacing	20.205	STPL-5107(034)	45,766	-
Bike Technology Demonstration	20.205	CML-5107(026)	460	-
Real Time Beach Parking Signs Subtotal CFDA No. 20.205	20.205	CML-5107(028)	1,196,848 5,566,784	<u>-</u>
Direct Programs:				
Federal Transit Cluster:				
Federal Transit Capital Investment Grants	20.500	CA-04-0163	2,196	-
Subtotal CFDA No. 20.500	20.500	CA-04-0275	4,630 6,826	
Federal Transit Formula Grant	20.507	CA-90-Y770-00	225,974	-
	20.507	CA-95-X206	6,271	-
	20.507 20.507	CA-90-Y667-01 CA-90-Z102	19,050 2,616,259	-
	20.507	CA-90-Z102 CA-90-Z194	18,879	-
ARRA - Federal Transit Formula Grant	20.507	CA-96-X044 (ARRA)	143,684	-
Subtotal CFDA No. 20.507		` '	3,030,117	
Total Federal Transit Cluster - CFDA Nos. 20.500 and 20.507			3,036,943	-
Passed through the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority:				
New Freedom Program	20.521	CA-57-X084	157,490	
History Cofety Chater				
Highway Safety Cluster: Passed through the State of California Office of Traffic Safety:				
State and Community Highway Safety:				
Selective Traffic Enforcement Program 2014-15	20.600	PT1517	220,661	-
Selective Traffic Enforcement Program 2013-14	20.600	PT1445	65,784	-
Subtotal CFDA No. 20.600			286,445	
Passed through the State of California Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control:				
National Priority Safety Programs	20.616	14-MPG19	7,477	
Total Hishway Safaty Charter, CEDA Nov. 20 600 and 20 616			293,922	
Total Highway Safety Cluster - CFDA Nos. 20.600 and 20.616			293,922	
Passed through the State of California Office of Traffic Safety:				
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated:	20.608	SC14202	22.255	
Sobriety Checkpoint Program 2013-14	20.608	SC14392	23,255	
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			9,078,394	
U.S. Department of Treasury				
Direct Program:				
Treasury Asset Forfeiture Program	21.000	_	2,528	
Total U.S. Department of Treasury			2,528	
National Endowment for the Arts				
Direct Program:				
Promotion of the Arts - Grants to Organizations and Individuals - Our Town	45.024	13-4292-7083	34,491	
Total National Endowment for the Arts			34,491	

See Accompanying Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and Housing Financial Data Schedules.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Continued) For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	CFDA Number	Grant Number / Pass- Through Grantor's Number	Federal Expenditures	Subrecipients
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services				
Passed through the Institute for Public Strategies:				
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services_Projects of Regional and National Significance	93.243	_	\$ 50,400	\$ -
radonal digilificance	73.243		\$ 50,400	Ψ
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			50,400	
U.S. Department of Homeland Security				
Direct Program:				
Assistance of Firefighter's Grants	97.044	EMW-2013-FO-06959	32,400	-
Passed through the County of Los Angeles - Office of Emergency Management:				
2013 Emergency Management Performance Grant	97.042	2013-0047	9,000	-
Passed through the City of Los Angeles - Homeland Security and Public Safety:				
Homeland Security Grant Program (UASI 2014 - FIRE)	97.067	C-125693	37,676	
Homeland Security Grant Program (UASI 2013 - FIRE)	97.067	C-124029	885,862	-
Homeland Security Grant Program (UASI 2013 - POLICE)	97.067	C-124029	45,476	-
Homeland Security Grant Program (UASI 2012 - FIRE)	97.067	C-123529	250,092	-
Homeland Security Grant Program (UASI 2011 - POLICE)	97.067	C-121050	6,936	-
Passed through the County of Los Angeles:				
State Homeland Security Program	97.067	2013-00110	426,701	
Total CFDA No. 97.067			1,652,743	
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			1,694,143	
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$ 29,584,079	\$ 529,442

This page left blank intentionally.

Housing Financial Data Schedules June 30, 2015

Line Item No.	Description	Housing Choice Vouchers	Family Self- Sufficiency Program	Continuum of Care Program	Total Programs
	Balance Sheet				
113	Cash - Other Restricted	\$ 195,165	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 195,165
115	Cash - Restricted for Payment of Current Liabilities	85,892	-	-	85,892
100	Total Cash	281,057	-	-	281,057
		,		T	
121	Accounts Receivable - PHA Projects	5,179	-	-	5,179
122	Accounts Receivable - HUD Other Projects	-	-	467,657	467,657
125	Accounts Receivable - Miscellaneous	3,636	-	-	3,636
120	Total Receivables, Net of Allowances for Doubtful Accounts	8,815	-	467,657	476,472
132	Investments - Restricted	51,715	_	_	51,715
142	Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets	14,481	-	_	14,481
150	Total Current Assets	356,068	-	467,657	823,725
					·
200	Deferred Outflow of Resources	-	-	-	-
290	Total Assets and Deferred Outflow of Resources	\$ 356,068	\$ -	\$ 467,657	\$ 823,725
312	Accounts Payable <= 90 Days	\$ 26,091	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 26,091
333	Accounts Payable - Other Government	-	-	467,657	467,657
345	Other Current Liabilities	85,892	=	-	85,892
346	Accrued Liabilities - Other	45,547	-	-	45,547
310	Total Current Liabilities	157,530	-	467,657	625,187
353	Non-current Liabilities - Other	51,715	-	_	51,715
350	Total Non-Current Liabilities	51,715			51,715
	Total Toll Carolic Empirical	51,710		l	01,710
300	Total Liabilities	209,245	-	467,657	676,902
400	Deferred Inflow of Resources	-	-	-	-
509.3	Descript d Ford Below	146,000		1	146,000
513	Restricted Fund Balance	146,823	-	-	146,823
313	Total Equity - Net Assets / Position	146,823	=	-	146,823
600	Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources and Equity	\$ 356,068	\$ -	\$ 467.657	\$ 823,725

Housing Financial Data Schedules (Continued) For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Line Item No.	Description	Housing Choice Vouchers	Family Self- Sufficiency Program	Continuum of Care Program	Total Programs
	Program Revenues and Expense Summary				
70600	HUD PHA Operating Grants	\$ 12,558,966	\$ 32,370	\$ 2,722,284	\$ 15,313,620
	Fraud Recovery	58,095	-	-	58,095
71500	Other Revenue	324,071	-	-	324,071
72000	Investment Income - Restricted	297	-	-	297
70000	Total Revenue	12,941,429	32,370	2,722,284	15,696,083
91100	Administrative Salaries	830,912	-	171,956	1,002,868
91200	Auditing Fees	6,704	-	-	6,704
91400	Advertising and Marketing	980	-	-	980
91500	Employee Benefit contributions - Administrative	469,518	-	-	469,518
91600	Office Expenses	16,744	1	-	16,744
91700	Legal Expense	4,713	-	-	4,713
91800	Travel	3,858	-	-	3,858
91900	Other	186,370	-	-	186,370
91000	Total Operating - Administrative	1,519,799	-	171,956	1,691,755
					_
92100	Tenant Services - Salaries	34,648	32,370	-	67,018
92500	Total Tenant Services	34,648	32,370	-	67,018
96200	Other General Expenses	5,605	-	_	5,605
96000	Total Other General Expenses	5,605	-	-	5,605
96900	Total Operating Expenses	1,560,052	32,370	171,956	1,764,378
97000	Excess of Operating Revenue over Operating Expenses	11,381,377	1	2,550,328	13,931,705
97300	Housing Assistance Payments	11,896,475	-	2,550,328	14,446,803
97350	HAP Portability-In	303,531			303,531
90000	Total Expenses	13,760,058	32,370	2,722,284	16,514,712
10030	Operating Transfers from/to Primary Government	491,400	-	-	491,400
10100	Total Other financing Sources (Uses)	491,400	-	-	491,400
10000	Excess (Deficiency) of Total Revenue Over (Under)	(327,229)		_	(327,229)
	Total	(321,229)	-	_	(321,229)
11030	Beginning Equity	474,052	-	-	474,052
11170	Administrative Fee Equity	(22,840)			(22,840)
_		,		1	
11180	Housing Assistance Payments Equity	\$ 169,663	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 169,663
11190	Unit Months Available	13104		2856	15960
11210	Number of Unit Months Leased	11516		2670	14186

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and Housing Financial Data Schedules For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

1. GENERAL

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Schedule) presents only the expenditures incurred by the City of Santa Monica (City) that are reimbursable under federal award programs. Federal awards received directly from federal agencies, as well as federal awards passed through other nonfederal agencies, are included on the Schedule. The City's reporting entity is described in Note 1 to the City's basic financial statements. Expenditures funded by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 are denoted by the prefix "ARRA" in the federal program title.

2. BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The accompanying Schedule is presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting except for programs recorded in the City's enterprise funds, which are presented using the accrual basis of accounting.

3. RELATIONSHIP TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Federal award expenditures agree or can be reconciled with the amounts reported in the City's basic financial statements.

4. RELATIONSHIP TO FEDERAL FINANCIAL REPORTS

Amounts reported in the accompanying Schedule are in agreement with the amounts reported in the related federal financial reports for the federal award programs.

5. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT (HUD), REAL ESTATE ASSESSMENT CENTER

The Housing Financial Data Schedules are required schedules that public housing agencies are to provide to HUD. They are essentially a trial balance of the City's Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers (CFDA 14.871), Family Self-Sufficiency Program (CFDA 14.896), and Continuum of Care Program (CFDA 14.267) reported in the City's Housing Authority special revenue fund in its basic financial statements, arranged in a program format as prescribed by HUD. The account descriptions in the schedules are not consistent with the terminology prescribed by generally accepted accounting principles for special revenue funds; rather, the descriptions include a blend of descriptions for proprietary funds (accrual based financial statements) and governmental funds (modified accrual based financial statements.) The Housing Financial Data Schedules are reported using the modified accrual basis of accounting.

6. PRIOR YEAR EXPENDITURES

Expenditures in the amount of \$15,828 incurred in fiscal year 2014 for the Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated Program (CFDA No. 20.608) and \$85 incurred in fiscal year 2014 for the Homeland Security Grant Program (CFDA No. 97.067) were not reported on the Schedule for fiscal year ended June 30, 2014. Those expenditures are being reported on the Schedule for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.

This page left blank intentionally.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Type of auditor's report issued:

Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

• Material weakness(es) identified? No

• Significant deficiency(ies) identified? None noted

Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted? No

FEDERAL AWARDS

Internal control over major programs:

Material weakness(es) identified?Significant deficiency(ies) identified?Yes

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major

programs: Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of

Circular A-133? Yes

Identification of major programs:

CFDA Number(s) Name of Federal Program or Cluster

14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers 97.067 Homeland Security Grant Program

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A

and type B programs: \$887,522

Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee? Yes

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued) For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Section II – Financial Statement Findings

None noted.

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

Reference Number: 2015-001

Federal Program Title: Homeland Security Grant Program

Federal Catalog Number: 97.067

Federal Agency: Department of Homeland Security

Pass-Through Entity: City of Los Angeles [Grant Nos. C123529; C124029]

County of Los Angeles [Grant No. 2013 – 00110]

Federal Award Number and Year: 2012 - C-124029

2012 - C-123529 2013 - 00110

Category of Finding: Equipment and Real Property Management

Criteria:

TITLE 44 – EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AND ASSISTANCE, Part 13 – UNIFORM ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS FOR GRANTS AND COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, Subpart D – Management Requirements, Section 13.32 – Equipment (Title 44 CFR Part 13.32)

(5) A physical inventory of the property must be taken and the results reconciled with the property records at least once every two years.

U.S. OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET CIRCULAR A-133—AUDITS OF STATES, LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, AND NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS (OMB Circular A-133), Subpart C— Auditees, Section .300—Auditee Responsibilities

(b) Maintain internal control over federal programs that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its federal programs.

Condition:

While the City personnel represented that a physical inventory of equipment was performed within the last two years, the results of the inventory and the reconciliation of the results to the property records were not documented.

Cause:

The City believed they were in compliance with the grant agreements and OMB A-133, however there was a lack of documentation in performing a physical inventory procedure at least once within the last two years.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued) For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Effect:

Failure to perform and adequately document physical inventory procedures may result in noncompliance with OMB A-133 and the grant requirements.

Questioned Costs:

No questioned costs noted.

Context:

The City could not provide necessary documentation of physical inventory of equipment performed within the last two years.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the City enforce policies and procedures over the maintenance of physical inventory records to ensure that equipment and real property management procedures are in accordance with OMB A-133 and the grant requirements.

Management Response and Corrective Action:

Management agrees with the comment. Safety staff monitors the equipment identified in this finding on a daily, bi-weekly or monthly basis depending on the type of equipment. This monitoring ensures that systems and equipment are operational and in good condition and also safeguards against loss, damage, and theft. To fully comply with federal regulations, City staff now documents the results of the physical count and reconciles with the equipment ledger.

This page left blank intentionally.

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Financial Statement Findings:

Finding 2013-001: Financial Reporting - Schedule of Expenditure of Federal Awards

Finding:

During our audit, we noted the following errors over the preparation of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA):

- Certain federal expenditures incurred during the fiscal year were inadvertently omitted from the SEFA for the following federal programs: Homeland Security Grant Program (97.067), Community Development Block Grants/ Entitlements Grants Cluster (14.218), HOME Investment Partnerships Program (14.239), Federal Transit Formula and Traffic Signal Priority Grants (20.507). This resulted in a net understatement of \$539,536 on the preliminary SEFA.
- Non-federal expenditures were incorrectly included on the SEFA for the Supportive Housing Program (14.235), resulting in an overstatement of \$49,491 on the preliminary SEFA.
- Duplicate retention amounts were inaccurately reported on the SEFA for the Traffic Signal Priority Grant (20.507), resulting in an overstatement of \$88,858 on the preliminary SEFA.

Recommendation:

We recommend that management strengthen their policies and procedures to ensure that federal expenditures reported on the SEFA are complete and accurate. Furthermore, we recommend that the Finance department strengthen the communication with departments to ensure federal expenditures are accurately reported.

Current Year Management Response:

Management agrees with the recommendation and has implemented procedural changes to ensure that preliminary drafts of the SEFA contain a comprehensive list of federal grant expenditures. Grant program managers have received individualized and/or Citywide training on federal grant reporting requirements and improving grant records management. A Senior Grants Analyst position was created in FY 2014-15 and the position was filled in May 2015. Among other responsibilities, the Senior Grants Analyst routinely reviews grant accounts in the General Ledger to ensure proper recording, has updated and maintains a comprehensive list of the City's active grants, and has flagged all federal grant accounts in the financial system to ensure inclusion in the SEFA.

Current Status as of June 30, 2015:

Implementation complete.

Implementation Date:

June 30, 2015

Finding 2012-01- Financial Reporting - SEFA

Finding:

Management inaccurately reported cost-sharing amounts, inadvertently omitted certain federal expenditures incurred during the fiscal year and inadvertently omitted a grant award from the initial Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA). These exceptions were subsequently corrected by management on the fiscal year 2012 SEFA.

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (Continued) For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Recommendation:

We recommend that management strengthen their policies and procedures to ensure that federal expenditures reported on the SEFA are accurate and in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133.

Current Year Management Response:

Management agrees with the recommendation and has implemented procedural changes to ensure that preliminary drafts of the SEFA contain a comprehensive list of federal grant expenditures. Grant program managers have received individualized and/or Citywide training on federal grant reporting requirements and improving grant records management. A Senior Grants Analyst position was created in FY 2014-15 and the position was filled in May 2015. Among other responsibilities, the Senior Grants Analyst routinely reviews grant accounts in the General Ledger to ensure proper recording, has updated and maintains a comprehensive list of the City's active grants, and has flagged all federal grant accounts in the financial system to ensure inclusion in the SEFA.

Current Status as of June 30, 2015:

Implementation complete.

Implementation Date:

June 30, 2015

Finding 2011-01 – Risk Assessment Performance by the Internal Audit and Finance Departments

Finding:

The Internal Audit and Finance departments do not perform a formalized risk assessment that identifies the financial risks within the City. The lack of a formalized risk assessment could lead to risks not being identified or adequately addressed.

Recommendation:

A documented risk assessment should be prepared and updated annually in order to identify risk areas in financial reporting and fiscal controls over operations. The risk assessment should be compared with existing controls to evaluate their adequacy and monitoring to determine that they are functioning as designed.

Current Year Management Response:

On August 26, 2014, Council authorized the City Manager to negotiate and execute a professional services agreement with Moss Adams, LLP for internal audit services. In FY 2014-15, Moss Adams completed an internal controls review of the City's key financial processes, including key functions and over 100 controls. Although the risk assessment was not conducted Citywide, these key functions and controls were identified by the internal auditors as being traditionally areas of high risk and potentially having a significant impact to the City if internal controls were deficient. The internal controls review report, presented to Council in March 2015, considered both fiscal and organizational risk and will serve as a launching point for a number of more thorough reviews of the City's financial practices.

Current Status as of June 30, 2015:

Implementation complete.

Implementation Date:

March 17, 2015

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (Continued) For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Finding 2011-02 – Accounting Policies and Procedures Manual

Finding:

We noted that the Finance Department has documented policies and procedures that are maintained in various documents and not in one comprehensive document. Procedures exist in the JD Edwards (JDE) manual, which provides guidance on their financial system and their annual budget document contains policies that are prepared for City Council approval. The lack of comprehensive written policies and procedures could contribute to inconsistent accounting treatment and poor internal controls.

Recommendation:

We recommend that a comprehensive accounting manual be maintained and updated and distributed to all finance department employees.

Current Year Management Response:

Management agrees with the recommendation and staff has continued to update the draft grant policy and procedures document over the course of the last fiscal year. Management expects a final policy to be formally adopted by the City by June 30, 2016.

Current Status as of June 30, 2015:

Partially implemented.

Implementation Date:

June 30, 2016

Federal Award Findings

Finding 2013-002 Allowable Costs/ Cost Principles and Reporting CFDA No. 20.507 – Federal Transit Cluster

Condition:

During our audit, we noted the following exceptions:

- Retention amounts paid to contractors totaling \$88,858 were reported twice on the Quarterly Progress report for the Transit Priority Grant. Of this retention amount, \$86,730 was inadvertently drawn down twice, and the estimated interest earned was \$36, resulting in questioned costs in the amount of \$86,766.
- Management reported annual expenditures totaling \$12,239,294 on the 3rd quarter 1512 ARRA report and cumulative expenditures totaling \$12,245,969 on the Federal Financial Report, for the same period, resulting in a variance of \$6,675. The variance is generally a result of timing differences, which management corrects on the subsequent report. However, we noted that the variance was not corrected on the 4th quarter 1512 ARRA report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013.

Recommendation:

We recommend that management strengthen their policies and procedures to ensure that federal fund drawdowns, federal expenditures reported on quarterly progress reports and the SEFA, as well as other required reports are complete and accurate.

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (Continued) For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Management Response and Corrective Action:

Management agrees with the recommendation. Management will update procedures and develop forms that will assist in the preparation and review of grant reports and will improve the communications and coordination with grant managers and departments throughout the City. We anticipate completion of this corrective action by June 30, 2015.

Current Year Management Response:

Management agrees with the recommendation and has provided individualized and citywide grant training to grant program managers on federal grant reporting requirements and improving grant records management. A Senior Grants Analyst position was created in FY 2014-15 and the position was filled in May 2015. Among other responsibilities, the Senior Grants Analyst routinely reviews grant accounts in the General Ledger to ensure proper recording and reviews and approves grant paperwork and reimbursement requests prior to submitting to granting agencies.

Current Status as of June 30, 2015:

Implementation complete.

Implementation Date:

June 30, 2015

Finding 2013-003 Reporting

CFDA No. 81.128 - ARRA - Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program

Condition:

During our audit, we selected a sample of two quarterly performance reports and two quarterly financial reports. We noted that all four reports selected for testing were submitted to the National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) after the due dates.

Recommendation:

We recommend that management strengthen their policies and procedures to ensure that required reports are submitted in a timely manner in accordance with the federal grant agreement.

Management Response and Corrective Action:

Management agrees with the recommendation. In addition to the creation and anticipated final approval of the City's policy on grant awards, Office of Sustainability and the Environment (OSE) will integrate federal grant reporting schedules into the existing contract management system to ensure that OSE management and all staff are aware of all impending grant report due dates and the submission status of all federal grant reports. We anticipate completion of this corrective action by June 30, 2015.

Current Year Management Response:

Management has implemented procedural changes to ensure that reports are submitted on time. Grant program managers have received individualized and/or Citywide training on federal grant reporting requirements and improving grant records management. A Senior Grants Analyst position was created in FY 2014-15 and the position was filled in May 2015. Among other responsibilities, the Senior Grants Analyst monitors periodic reporting requirements for grants.

Current Status as of June 30, 2015:

Implementation complete.

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (Continued) For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Implementation Date:

June 30, 2015

Finding 2012-02 Reporting CFDA No. 81.128 – ARRA - Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant

Condition:

The City did not submit the required Federal Financial Report and PAGE report for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 by the due date of July 30, 2012. The reports were submitted on August 6, 2012.

Recommendation:

We recommend that management strengthen their policies and procedures to ensure federal financial and performance reports are submitted to the granting agency in a timely manner.

Management Response and Corrective Action:

Management agrees with the recommendation and has strengthened its policies and procedures to ensure that federal financial performance reports are submitted to the granting agency by the due date.

Current Year Management Response:

Management agrees with the recommendation and has implemented procedural changes including individualized and/or Citywide training provided to grant program managers on federal grant reporting requirements and improving grant records management, improving grants records management process within the Finance Department, and reallocating and clarifying grant reporting responsibilities. A Senior Grants Analyst position was created in FY 2014-15 and the position was filled in May 2015. Among other responsibilities, the Senior Grants Analyst monitors periodic reporting requirements for grants.

Current Status as of June 30, 2015:

Implementation complete.

Implementation Date:

June 30, 2015