



CITY OF SANTA MONICA

**MINUTES
OF THE
LANDMARKS COMMISSION**

SANTA MONICA CIVIC AUDITORIUM,
EAST WING, 1855 MAIN STREET,
SANTA MONICA

**MONDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2020
7:00 PM**

CALL TO ORDER OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF THE LANDMARKS COMMISSION:

Chair Genser called the meeting to order at [7:01:26 PM](#)

1. ROLL CALL

Present:

Richard Brand
Kenneth Breisch
Roger Genser, Chair
Amy Green
Ruth Shari
Barry Rosenbaum, Chair Pro Tempore
Dolores Sloan

Also Present:

Heidi von Tongeln, Deputy City Attorney
Stephanie Reich, AIA, LEED, AP, Design and Historic Preservation Planner
Steve Mizokami, Commission Liaison
Wendy Radwan, Staff Assistant III

2. REPORT FROM STAFF:

[7:02:18 PM](#)

Steve Mizokami, Senior Planner and Landmarks Commission Liaison provided updates and identified projects that may come before the Landmarks Commission in future months. He stated that the applicant for item 10-A has requested 10 minutes for presentation. He announced that on February 25, 2020, the Council will be having a discussion on Planning and Community Development priorities. He also announced a newly forming Boards, Commissions & Task Forces Community Working Group with applications due February 25, 2020, and updated the Commission on appeal item dates currently scheduled before City Council.

3. COMMISSIONER ANNOUNCEMENTS: None.

4. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES:**

4-A. January 13, 2020

[7:06:45 PM](#)

Commissioner Shari made a motion to approve. Chair Pro Tempore Rosenbaum seconded the motion. A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Breisch, Genser, Green, Rosenbaum, Shari

NAYS: None

ABSTAIN: Brand, Sloan

ABSENT: None

5. **APPROVAL OF STATEMENTS OF OFFICIAL ACTION:**

5-A. Certificate of Appropriateness 19ENT-0467, 321-415 Palisades Beach Road/Pacific Coast Highway (Annenberg Community Beach House), approval of the repair and rehabilitation of the pool tile including the in-kind replacement of deteriorated marble tile at the pool deck, replacement of mosaic pool tile, and rehabilitation of hand-painted tiles at the former Marion Davies Estate, a designated City Landmark.

[7:07:56 PM](#)

Commissioner Shari made a motion to approve. Commissioner Green seconded the motion. A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Breisch, Genser, Green, Rosenbaum, Shari

NAYS: None

ABSTAIN: Brand, Sloan

ABSENT: None

5-B. Landmark/Structure of Merit Designation 19ENT-0329, 824 Woodacres Road, denial of the subject residential building as a City Landmark and Structure of Merit.

Commissioner Shari made a motion to approve. Chair Pro Tempore Rosenbaum seconded the motion. A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Breisch, Genser, Green, Rosenbaum, Shari

NAYS: None

ABSTAIN: Brand, Sloan

ABSENT: None

5-C. Landmark Designation 19ENT-0372, 633 21st Street, denial of the subject residence as a City Landmark.

[7:08:52 PM](#)

Chair Pro Tempore Rosenbaum seconded the motion. Commissioner Green seconded the motion. A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Breisch, Genser, Green, Rosenbaum, Shari

NAYS: None

ABSTAIN: Brand, Sloan

ABSENT: None

6. **PUBLIC INPUT: (On items not on agenda and within the jurisdiction of the Commission)** None

7. **DEMOLITION PERMITS:**

7-A. **Historic Resources Preliminary Review Hearing.** Preliminary review and consideration of whether there is credible evidence in the record to proceed with a further public hearing to determine whether buildings or structures meet the criteria for a City Landmark or Structure of Merit in accordance with Interim Zoning Ordinance Number 2626 (CCS). Upon filing an application for demolition of a building or structure that is 40 years of age or older, applicants request a review by the Landmarks Commission to determine whether the building or structure meets one or more of the criteria for Landmark or Structure of Merit. Preliminary review hearings do not result in buildings or structures being designated as City Landmarks or Structures of Merit.

[7:12:14 PM](#)

Commissioners provided the following *ex parte* disclosures:

Commissioners Shari, Green, Sloan, Breisch and Chair Genser visited all properties. Chair Pro Tempore Rosenbaum stated that 2629 33rd Street is likely within 1000 feet of his property but not within 500 feet, so he is not required to recuse himself based on the factors in the statute, and he also stated that he visited all properties except for 645 Navy Street.

1. **1626 California Avenue** (19BLD-4070)
(20ENT-0022) Landmark/Structure of Merit Designation Review
R2 – Low Density Residential
Single Family Dwelling
Approximate Date of Construction: 1923
Structure Identified in Historic Resources Inventory 5S3*
End of 75 Day Period: February 26, 2020

The following member of the public addressed the Commission: Ruthann Lehrer (Santa Monica Conservancy) spoke in support of a full evidentiary hearing.

Chair Pro Tempore Rosenbaum made a motion that there is credible evidence in the record to proceed to a full evidentiary hearing. Commissioner Shari seconded the motion. A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Brand, Breisch, Genser, Green, Rosenbaum, Shari, Sloan
NAYS: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

2. **901 Princeton Street** (19BLD-3432)
(19ENT-0024) Landmark/Structure of Merit Designation Review
R1 – Single-Unit Residential
Single Family Dwelling & Garage
Approximate Date of Construction: 1925
Structure Not Identified in Historic Resources Inventory
End of 75 Day Period: March 3, 2020

Chair Genser made a motion that no further hearing is required. Commissioner Green seconded the motion. A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Brand, Breisch, Genser, Green, Rosenbaum, Shari, Sloan
NAYS: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

3. **1754 10th Street** (19BLD-4292)
(20ENT-0025) Landmark/Structure of Merit Designation Review
R2 – Low Density Residential
Single Family Dwelling & Garage
Approximate Date of Construction: 1922
Structure Not Identified in Historic Resources Inventory
End of 75 Day Period: March 6, 2020

Commissioner Sloan recused herself and left the room.

Chair Pro Tempore Rosenbaum made a motion that no further hearing is required. Commissioner Shari seconded the motion. A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Brand, Breisch, Genser, Green, Rosenbaum, Shari
NAYS: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: Sloan

4. **318 Georgina Avenue** (19BLD-4969)
(20ENT-0026) Landmark/Structure of Merit Designation Review

R1 – Single-Unit Residential
Single Family Dwelling & Garage
Approximate Date of Construction: 1974
Structure Not Identified in Historic Resources Inventory
End of 75 Day Period: March 11, 2020

Commissioner Sloan returned to the dais.

Commissioner Shari made a motion that no further hearing is required.
Commissioner Breisch seconded the motion. A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Brand, Breisch, Genser, Green, Rosenbaum, Shari, Sloan
NAYS: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

5. **1814 Euclid Street** (19BLD-4828)
(20ENT-0023) Landmark/Structure of Merit Designation Review
R2 – Low Density Residential
Single Family Dwelling & Garage
Approximate Date of Construction: 1924
Structure Identified in Historic Resources Inventory 5S3
End of 75 Day Period: March 16, 2020

The following member of the public addressed the Commission: Linas Baskauskas (property owner) spoke in opposition to further review or designation. He spoke of the substantial maintenance required over the years, and that the listing on the HRI appears to be interfering with his effort to sell the property.

Nina Fresco (Santa Monica Conservancy) noted the correspondence provided to the Commission and spoke in support of further study.

Chair Pro Tempore Rosenbaum made a motion that no further hearing is required. Commissioner Brand seconded the motion. A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Brand, Breisch, Green, Rosenbaum, Shari, Sloan
NAYS: Genser
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

6. **457 23rd Street** (19BLD-5100)
(20ENT-0028) Landmark/Structure of Merit Designation Review
R1 – Single-Unit Residential
Single Family Dwelling & Garage

Approximate Date of Construction 1937
Structure Not Identified in Historic Resources Inventory
End of 75 Day Period: March 23, 2020

Commissioner Green recused herself and left the room.

Chair Pro Tempore Rosenbaum made a motion that no further hearing is required. Commissioner Shari seconded the motion. A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Brand, Breisch, Genser, Rosenbaum, Shari, Sloan
NAYS: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: Green

7. **2508 25th Street** (20BLD-0023)
(20ENT-0029) Landmark/Structure of Merit Designation Review
R1 – Single-Unit Residential
Single Family Dwelling & Garage
Approximate Date of Construction 1944
Structure Not Identified in Historic Resources Inventory
End of 75 Day Period: March 26, 2020

Chair Genser made a motion that no further hearing is required. Commissioner Brand seconded the motion. A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Brand, Breisch, Genser, Rosenbaum, Shari, Sloan
NAYS: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: Green

8. **3233 21st Street** (19BLD-4768)
(20ENT-0027) Landmark/Structure of Merit Designation Review
R1 – Single-Unit Residential
Single Family Dwelling
Approximate Date of Construction: 1948
Structure Not Identified in Historic Resources Inventory
End of 75 Day Period: March 29, 2020

Commissioner Green returned to the dais.

Commissioner Shari made a motion that no further hearing is required. Chair Pro Tempore Rosenbaum seconded the motion. A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Brand, Breisch, Genser, Green, Rosenbaum, Shari, Sloan

NAYS: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

- 9. 2312 3rd Street** (20BLD-0061)
(20ENT-0033) Landmark/Structure of Merit Designation Review
OP2– Ocean Park Low Density Residential
Single Family Dwelling
Approximate Date of Construction 1953
Structure Not Identified in Historic Resources Inventory
End of 75 Day Period: April 7, 2020

Chair Genser made a motion that no further hearing is required. Commissioner Shari seconded the motion. A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Brand, Breisch, Genser, Green, Rosenbaum, Shari, Sloan
NAYS: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

- 10. 2629 31st Street** (19BLD-3372)
(20ENT-0030) Landmark/Structure of Merit Designation Review
R1 – Single-Unit Residential
Single Family Dwelling (Fire Damage)
Approximate Date of Construction 1951
Structure Not Identified in Historic Resources Inventory
End of 75 Day Period: April 9, 2020

Commissioner Sloan made a motion that no further hearing is required. Chair Genser seconded the motion. A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Brand, Breisch, Genser, Green, Rosenbaum, Shari, Sloan
NAYS: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

- 11. 1853 12th Street** (19BLD-5125)
(20ENT-0031) Landmark/Structure of Merit Designation Review
R2 – Low Density Residential
(2) Multi-Family Dwellings
Approximate Date of Construction 1924
Structure Not Identified in Historic Resources Inventory
End of 75 Day Period: April 9, 2020

Commissioner Rosenbaum made a motion that no further hearing is required. Commissioner Brand seconded the motion. A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Brand, Breisch, Genser, Green, Rosenbaum, Shari, Sloan
NAYS: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

- 12. 2217 21st Street** (19BLD-5131)
(20ENT-0032) Landmark/Structure of Merit Designation Review
R1 – Single-Unit Residential
Garage Only
Approximate Date of Construction 1932
Structure Identified in Historic Resources Inventory 5D3
End of 75 Day Period: April 9, 2020

Commissioner Brand made a motion that no further hearing is required. Commissioner Shari seconded the motion. A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Brand, Breisch, Genser, Green, Rosenbaum, Shari, Sloan
NAYS: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

- 13. 457 20th Street** (20BLD-0097)
(20ENT-0034) Landmark/Structure of Merit Designation Review
R1 – Single-Unit Residential
Single Family Dwelling
Approximate Date of Construction 1951
Structure Not Identified in Historic Resources Inventory
End of 75 Day Period: April 9, 2020

Chair Genser made a motion that no further hearing is required. Chair Pro Tempore Rosenbaum seconded the motion. A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Brand, Breisch, Genser, Green, Rosenbaum, Shari, Sloan
NAYS: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

- 14. 645 Navy Street** (20BLD-0175)
(20ENT-0035) Landmark/Structure of Merit Designation Review
OP1– Ocean Park Single-Unit Residential

Single Family Dwelling & Garage
Approximate Date of Construction 1924
Structure Not Identified in Historic Resources Inventory
End of 75 Day Period: April 12, 2020

Commissioner Brand made a motion that no further hearing is required.
Commissioner Breisch seconded the motion. A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Brand, Breisch, Genser, Green, Rosenbaum, Shari, Sloan
NAYS: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

15.2709 Santa Monica Blvd (19BLD-4814)
(20ENT-0036) Landmark/Structure of Merit Designation Review
MUBL – Mixed-Use Boulevard Low
(3) Commercial Buildings & Garage
Approximate Date of Construction 1945
Structure Not Identified in Historic Resources Inventory
End of 75 Day Period: April 12, 2020

Commissioner Sloan stated that she is familiar with Rip City Skates, the business in the building and that it's part of the history of commerce in the area and as such would like more information.

Commissioner Sloan made a motion that there is credible evidence in the record to proceed to a full evidentiary hearing. The motion failed to get a second.

Commissioner Brand made a motion that no further hearing is required. Chair Genser seconded the motion. A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Brand, Breisch, Genser, Green, Rosenbaum, Shari
NAYS: Sloan
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

8. **CONSENT CALENDAR:** None
9. **OLD BUSINESS:** None
10. **NEW BUSINESS/PUBLIC HEARINGS:**

10-A. Certificate of Appropriateness 20ENT-0019, 2501 2nd Street, consideration for design approval of a 3-unit residential condominium development at the subject property. The proposed project includes the relocation, retention, and rehabilitation of the existing City Landmark residence (Turn-of-the-Century Cottage) and the construction of a new two-unit, two-story residential building above a subterranean garage located behind the Landmark residence. Rehabilitation and restoration of the residence includes but is not limited to the repair/restoration of exterior siding material, windows, and doors, and construction of a new basement/foundation and a one-story addition. The project's associated Vesting Tentative Map and Major Modifications to certain development standards were approved by the Planning Commission on August 21, 2019.

[7:48:39 PM](#)

Commissioner Shari made a motion to reorder the Agenda to hear Item 10-B before 10-A. Commissioner Green seconded the motion. A voice vote was held for the motion and unanimously approved.

[8:03:36 PM](#)

Commissioners provide *ex parte* communication: Commissioner Shari goes by the property often but not specifically for this hearing. All other Commissioners stated they visited the property. Commissioner Sloan had a meeting with the architect and Mr. Kutcher, and discussed the content included in the staff report.

Mr. Mizokami presented the staff report, describing the project in relationship to the preliminary project review by the Landmarks Commission in July 2018, and stated that Planning Commission review and approval was required because of the Tentative Tract Map. He presented the project in detail, including the Consultant's assessment finding that the project is in compliance with the Secretary of Interior's Standards.

Commissioner Brand made a motion to grant the applicant 15 minutes to present to the Commission, including rebuttal time. Chair Genser seconded the motion. A voice vote was held for the motion and unanimously approved.

Ken Kutcher (property owner representative) introduced the project team. He stated that the project has been revised in response to the Landmarks Commission's comments during Preliminary Review. He stated that the existing porch is currently enclosed and will remain enclosed as part of the proposal. He also stated that the porch is existing enclosed space and therefore outside the purview of the Commission.

Howard Laks (architect) presented the project, including a model of the project as presented to the Landmarks Commission for Preliminary Review in July 2018, and a model showing the current proposal. He identified the changes made in response to the Landmarks Commissioner's comments, primarily those related to massing

and scale included in the revised proposal that was presented to the Planning Commission. He also identified the comments made by the Planning Commission and revisions provided in response. Olivia White (historic preservation consultant) described the work proposed to the Landmark structure, stating that all work will comply with the Secretary of Interior's Standards.

The following members of the public addressed the Commission: Nina Fresco (representing herself) stated concern regarding the original front porch and that she disagreed with the Planning Commission decision on that item. She also stated concern about the planter shown above the garage ramp. Mike Salazar (Landmark property owner/architect) stated that the project had improved since the preliminary review, and he also commented on the treatment of the front porch.

Ken Kutcher, in rebuttal, stated that there will be an increase in exterior area due to Building Code requirements, and noted that the Landmarks Commission would be outside their purview to require the front portion of the building to be re-created as an open front porch. Ms. White noted that this is a rehabilitation project, not a restoration project and that restoration of the front porch is not required. It was also noted that the enclosure of the front porch was an early modification that was relatively common in the Ocean Park neighborhood.

Discussion was held. Commissioner Brand stated that the architect has done a great job incorporating the recommendations from the Commission, that the color of the addition could be lightened, and supports the planter above the ramp as is.

Commissioner Breisch also stated support for the project and commented that the house was designated with the porch as is, that the enclosure of the porch has existed for a substantial amount of time, and the enclosure is also not uncommon. He noted that the Commission does not have the ability to request removal of the enclosure and in any case, it is not an issue. He spoke in support of the addition, noting that it creates a dialogue between the new addition and the Landmark, which is the goal of providing an addition to a Landmarks structure.

Chair Pro Tempore Rosenbaum agreed, noting that the Planning Commission approved the CEQA exemption without stipulating that the porch be restored and in response to his question, Deputy City Attorney Heidi von Tongeln stated that the Commission could not require removal of the enclosure. Commissioners Shari and Sloan stated support of the project and agreed that staff can work with the applicant to properly calibrate the color of the addition.

There was discussion regarding differentiation of the planter above the garage ramp. Commissioner Brand suggested a score line be provided between the floor slab and planter wall, and the Commission agreed on that solution.

Commissioner Brand made a motion to approve the project with the conditions included in the staff report and the following:

1. Work with staff to soften the dark grey color of the addition to better complement the Landmark, and
2. Provide a reveal between the planter and floor slab above the driveway ramp.

A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Brand, Breisch, Genser, Green, Rosenbaum, Shari, Sloan

NAYS: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: None

- 10-B. Landmark Designation application 19ENT-0398, 518 Adelaide Drive, to determine whether the residence, in whole or in part, should be designated as a City Landmark and, if so designated, whether an associated Landmark Parcel should be defined and described in order to preserve, maintain, protect, or safeguard the Landmark. The Landmarks Commission will consider the application based on whether the application, research and public testimony presented demonstrates that the building meets one or more of the required criteria for Landmark designation pursuant to SMMC Section 9.56.100(A).

[7:50:39 PM](#)

Commissioners provide *ex parte* communication: All Commissioners stated that they visited the property.

Mr. Mizokami presented the staff report. He stated that the City's historic Preservation consultant, GPA Consulting found the property meets criteria 1, 2, and 4 and that staff agrees with that assessment. He noted the property as a French Revival architectural style and that the property has substantial integrity.

The following member of the public addressed the Commission: Barry Boehm (property owner) noted the chimney was lost in the 1994 earthquake and he had it reconstructed. He stated his support of the designation. Ruthann Lehrer (Santa Monica Conservancy) stated that the property owner has supported Conservancy tours, commended the staff report and suggested the Commission adopt the staff recommendation.

Chair Pro Tempore Rosenbaum made a motion to adopt the staff recommendation, the criteria 1, 2, 4, including the parcel as a Landmark Parcel, and the character defining features as identified in the consultant assessment on page 14. Commissioner Shari seconded the motion. A roll call was held for the motion and approved by the following vote:

AYES: Brand, Breisch, Genser, Green, Rosenbaum, Shari, Sloan

NAYS: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: None

11. **DISCUSSION ITEMS:**

- 11-A. Presentation of conceptual plans and preliminary discussion regarding a proposed improvement project pursuant to Minor Modification 19ENT-0439 and Major Modification 19ENT-0438 for the property located at 9 Vicente Terrace consisting of an addition to and rehabilitation of the Landmark residence located on the subject property.

[9:05:46 PM](#)

Commissioner Brand made a motion to grant the applicant team 10 minutes to present to the Commission. Commissioner Sloan seconded the motion. The motion was approved by a voice vote.

Regina Szilak, Associate Planner, presented the staff report, describing the project and modification requests proposed that will be heard by the Zoning Administrator.

Ken Kutcher (HLKK, representing the property owner) introduced the project team and identified the modification requests. Aleli Balaguer (historic preservation consultant) presented the restoration of the front porch and rehabilitation of the Landmark building. Robert Crockett (architect) presented the modifications to the property, clarifying dimensions of the existing and proposed building in relation to the property line. He presented the intent of the addition at the rear of the property. He also described the addition of a full basement which would require the house to be lifted and subsequently placed in the same location upon completion of the basement construction. He stated that the addition will be recessed from the sides of the existing building. He also noted that the existing chimney is non-original, and that the intent is to replace the chimney with one that more closely matches the original chimney. Robert Chattel (historic preservation architect) added that an aspect of the proposal is to restore the front porch. He noted the three columns supporting the porch roof are original and will remain.

Mr. Crockett noted all the windows in the existing building were replaced in 2001, and that they will be replaced to more closely match the original windows.

Discussion was held. Commissioner Shari noted support for the project, Commissioner Brand agreed and expressed appreciation for the lightness of the addition, the vertical siding, use of light color, and trellis. Commissioners generally agreed with support for the direction of the project.

- 11-B. Discussion and possible selection of a Commissioner(s) to address the City Council regarding the Commission's decision to deny the Landmark designation of the residence located at 633 21st Street at future appeal hearing(s) (Landmark Designation 19ENT-0372).

[9:25:20 PM](#)

The following member of the public addressed the Commission: Ken Kutcher.

Chair Genser volunteered to represent the Commission with Chair Pro Tempore Rosenbaum as alternate.

- 11-C. Update from the Landmarks Ordinance Update Subcommittee and Staff on recent activities related to recommendations for the proposed update to the Landmarks Ordinance, Santa Monica Municipal Code Chapter 9.56, including but not limited to, discussions held, recommendations formulated, input received, and upcoming Subcommittee meetings, and discussion on whether waiving the present fee for historic district applications for nonprofit organizations should be a recommendation for the update to the Landmarks Ordinance.

[9:27:50 PM](#)

Commissioners Shari and Sloan raised the issue of fees required for District nominations and reminded the Commission that they can nominate a Historic District, in which case a fee doesn't apply. Commissioner Sloan stated that the public education and media outreach subcommittee supports engaging the community in bringing forth applications and supports waiving the fee for District applications.

Stephanie Reich, Design and Historic Preservation Planner, stated that the City Council adopts fees and suggested that if the Commission would like to make that recommendation to Council it might go forward at the same time as the Ordinance. Ms. von Tongeln stated that fees are reviewed by Council annually and could potentially review the fees at an earlier date.

Chair Pro Tempore Rosenbaum stated support for the idea, and the Commission supported the recommendation.

- 11-D. Update from the San Vicente Courtyard Apartments Historic District Ordinance Subcommittee and Staff on the draft Ordinance and to discuss next steps, including but not limited to, upcoming Subcommittee meetings.

Nothing to report.

- 11-E. Update from the Public Education and Media Outreach Subcommittee on recent activities related to enhancing the awareness of, and engagement and participation in, the City's historic preservation programs and activities.

[9:41:43 PM](#)

The Subcommittee met on January 29, 2020 and agreed it is important to resume the consistent feed of content on historic preservation to any and all media. They also discussed the importance of making the website easier to navigate.

- 11-F. Report from Landmarks Commission Liaison to the Architectural Review Board (ARB) on recent ARB consideration and action taken on proposed projects involving additions to or modifications of potential historic resources.

Nothing to report.

- 11-G. Report from Landmarks Commission representative to the Santa Monica Pier Corporation (SMPC) on recent SMPC activities and action taken on proposed projects involving the Landmark Santa Monica Pier.

Nothing to report.

- 11-H. Update from Staff on notable activities affecting any designated Landmarks or Structures of Merit.

Nothing to report.

- 11-I. Planning Commission Case List (Information Only).

12. **WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS: (Public and Commission discussion and comment is permitted.)**

None.

13. **FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:** (Requests from Commissioners to add items to upcoming agendas) None

14. **NEXT MEETING DATE AND COMMISSION AGENDA:** Special Meeting of the Landmarks Commission: 7:00 PM Monday, March 9, 2020 at Santa Monica Institute (SMI) Training Room (2nd Floor), 330 Olympic Drive, Santa Monica.

15. **ADJOURNMENT:** Commissioner Green made a motion to adjourn at [9:51:53 PM](#) on Monday February 10, 2020.