

FEBRUARY 4, 2021

DEAR ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD,

I AM SO SORRY TO HAVE TO BOTHER YOU, BUT AS A TENANT ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE BUILDING AT 1318 2ND STREET, I HAVE TO QUESTION THE APPROVAL OF THE PLACEMENT OF THE SOLAR PANELS AT 1314 2ND STREET, THE NRDC BUILDING.

I AND THE OTHER TENANTS ON THE THIRD FLOOR ON THE NORTH SIDE OF OUR BUILDING PRESENTLY LOOK OUT OUR WINDOWS AND BALCONIES TO FRAMING FOR A WALL OF SOLAR PANELS WHICH WILL NOT ONLY FURTHER RESTRICT THE LITTLE BIT OF VIEW THAT WE HAVE FROM OUR UNITS, AS WELL AS THE SUNLIGHT THAT IS ABLE TO ENTER OUR UNITS FROM THE WINDOWS. BUT WILL ALSO BE CUTTING OFF MUCH OF THE DIRECT AIRFLOW TO OUR UNITS. DUE TO THE FRAMING FOR THE WALL OF SOLAR PANELS BEING FROM THE FRONT OF 1314 2ND STREET ALL THE WAY BACK TO THE BACK BUILDING ON THE ROOF OF THE SOUTH SIDE OF THE BUILDING. BASICALLY AUTOMOBILING THE RESIDENTIAL UNITS.

AS A TENANT WHO'S UNIT WILL BE DIRECTLY EFFECTED BY THIS ACTION, I AM NOT ONLY CONCERNED BUT A LITTLE CONFUSED WHY THIS WOULD BE APPROVED BY THE CITY IN THIS WAY. I SPOKE BEFORE YOU WHEN THIS ITEM CAME UP, AND I COULD HAVE SWORN THAT THE ARB SAID THE HEIGHT OF THE SOLAR PANELS COULD NOT EXCEED THE HEIGHT OF THE RAILING, WHICH FIVE FEET

ABOVE THE ROOF IS OBVIOUSLY ABOVE.

SO WHEN JAMES COMBS FROM PLANNING TOLD ME THAT NONE OF THIS INFORMATION WAS INCLUDED IN THE VIDEO FROM THAT NIGHT. HE BECAME VERY OFFENDED WHEN I MENTIONED THAT IT WOULDN'T BE THE FIRST TIME THE CITY CUT/EDITED A CITY MEETING VIDEO. CONSIDERING I AM CUT/EDITED OUT OF CITY COUNCIL REPLAYS FREQUENTLY. AND IF I DIDN'T THINK THE ISSUE HAD BEEN TAKEN CARE OF, OF COURSE I WOULD HAVE PERSISTED IT FURTHER.

IN ENDING, I DO HOPE YOU CAN SEE HOW THIS ACTION WILL SIGNIFICANTLY HAVE A NEGATIVE EFFECT ON OUR QUALITY OF LIFE AS TENANTS. I WOULD SINCERELY APPRECIATE IT, IF THERE IS ANYTHING YOU CAN DO TO ASSIST US AS RESIDENTS IN THIS SITUATION.

THANK YOU  
DENISE BARTON  
1318 2ND ST #304